UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,209	10/540,209 05/24/2006 Burkard Otto H		W1.2098PCT-US	5293
Douglas R Han	7590 11/26/200 scom	EXAMINER		
Jones Tullar &	Cooper	NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST		
P O Box 2266 Eads Station Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/540,209	HERBERT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

LES	SLIE A. NICHOLSON III	3651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (value for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 2 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavit vith appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviso no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth in nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on and the corresponding amount or ened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complianc filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but p (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corre	eration and/or search (see NOT	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. S 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) we will be added to the proposed amendment of the proposed ame	ble if submitted in a separate, ti vill not be entered, or b) ☐ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 134-136,153-161 and 164-172. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	ome <u>all</u> rejections under appea I was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of t <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , , ,		ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO 13. ☐ Other:	0/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>4/28/200</u>	<u>8</u>	
/Gene Crawford/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3651			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the prior art of record does not disclose the newly added limitations. In response, Maylander discloses the formers shifting in response to a different printing pattern to be formed depending on the product to be made, i.e. a telephone book or a newspaper as shown in at least C2/L44-47. Treff discloses the web cutters shifting in response to desired ribbon patterns of variable width to be printed as shown in at least the abstract and C1/L38-59. McVenes discloses the turning bars shifting to make sure the margins on the printed page are as they should be in at least C2/L57-67. Each of these references adjust a device in order to compensate for a different printing job as claimed. The Examiner further notes that the claims do not appear to recite a computer that presets each of the elements in response to receipt of print preparation stage data. A control system is not necessarily a computer and print preparation stage data is not necessarily digital signals entered into a computer. Data is simply defined as a body of facts or information by Dictionary.com Unabridged (v1.1).